Eighteen names were suggested as a way to commemorate French aid in the American Revolution, but the government of Vermont accepted only three.

**Gallic Place-Names for Vermont, 1785**

By John Leighly

Vermont’s place names have been studied with a good deal of care, and their origins are better known than those of the place names of most of the states of the Union. Three of them, Danville, St. Johnsbury, and Vergennes, were taken from a list of names proposed in two letters written to Ethan Allen in 1785 by Michel-Guillaume St. Jean de Crèvecoeur, known in America before the Revolution as Hector St. John. In addition to the three names just mentioned, the list includes fifteen additional ones that were not adopted; and these, too, are worth examining. The names for prospective settlements and civil divisions Crèvecoeur proposed were intended to commemorate the aid given by France to the American colonists in their struggle for independence. They are for the most part names of persons, some with and some without suffixes; Crèvecoeur writes of these persons as “such French Characters as have amply deserved” the gratitude of Americans. The bestowal of the names would be a “simple tho’ efficacious way of showing” this gratitude (1). He commended to Allen the names he suggested in the following words: “As to the different Names for the new Towns and Counties which I proposed you, I hope your Governor and his Council will find nothing very extraordinary in those names. The very appellation of the state being Vermont will make the names proposed more analogous, Ver-

1. Reprinted, with permission, from *Names*, the journal of the American Name Society, 21:2 (June, 1973), 65-74. Part of the first paragraph of this article has been rewritten by Mr. Leigly to suit a Vermont readership.

2. The letters are published in E. F. Walton (ed.), *Records of the Governor and Council of the State of Vermont*, vol. 3 (Montpelier, 1875; hereafter cited as “Records”), pp. 386-390. The first of the two letters was written from New York, May 31, 1785, just before Crèvecoeur departed for France on leave from his post as French Consul there; the second on his arrival in France, dated Lorient, July 17, 1785. In referring to the letters I shall identify citations of the first by “(1)” and of the second by “(2).” Walton published them from copies in the State archives of Vermont. The whereabouts of their originals is now, and probably was in Walton’s time, unknown.
mont being entirely French, nor will the sound of these be in contradiction with the harmony of your language."

CRÈVECEUR AS NOMENCLATOR AND ONOMATOLOGIST

Crèvecoeur's proposal to Allen was not an isolated incident in his varied career. The reader of his writings cannot avoid noting in him a recurring concern with both personal and place-names. This concern was undoubtedly fostered by his transplantation as a young man from France to North America, where he had to adjust himself to circumstances, including a language, far different from those in which he had grown up in Normandy. A part of this adjustment was his adoption of a name better suited to use in the English-speaking colonies than the one he had inherited. In the deed of purchase of his farm in Orange County, New York, dated December 12, 1769, he appears as Hector St. John; and when he sold the farm in 1785 he of course used the same name in the text of the deed, though he signed the receipt for payment as "St. John de Crèvecoeur," in accordance with his status at the time as French consul in New York.3 But in his marriage certificate, dated December 20, 1769, and in the certificate of baptism of his children, dated December 27, 1776, he preserved his affiliation with his family by using, in the former, "Michel-Guillaume Saint Jean de Crèvecoeur, commonly called Mr. Saint John," and in the latter "Michel-Guillaume Saint John de Crèvecoeur, otherwise called Mr. Saint John."4 On the title page of his Letters from an American Farmer, 1782, he prefixed an initial "J." to "Hector St. John," and in the first letter, in his guise as an American farmer, permitted his friend the minister to address him as "James."

Considering the care Crèvecoeur exercised in preserving his family identity in the documents relating to his marriage and the baptism of his children, one scarcely knows what to make of parts of a letter he wrote to Benjamin Franklin (then in Paris) from Caen on September 26, 1781, after his first return to France. He had earlier addressed Franklin concerning some American prisoners of war who had escaped from England to the coast of Normandy, and whom he had helped to find passage back to America. He had signed that letter "St. John." In replying, Franklin wrote him that the countess D'Houdetot, an old friend of Crèvecoeur's family though as yet unacquainted with Crèvecoeur himself, who had adopted Franklin into the

---


distinguished circle that frequented her residence, had "warmly recom-
mended to [him] a M. Crévecoeur who had lived long in America. Please to
inform me if you are the same Person." Crévecoeur wrote to Franklin, in his
letter of September 26, 1781:

Yes Sir I am the Same Person whom Madame la Comtesse de Houdetot has been
so kind as to mention to you. — the Reason of this mistake proceeds from the
Singularity of ye french Customs, which renders their names almost arbitrary, &
often leads them to forget their Family ones; it is in consequence of this, that there
are more alias dict[i] in this than in any other country in Europe. The name of our
Family is St. Jean, in English St. John, a name as Antient as the Conquest of
England by Wm. the Bastard.

I am so great a Stranger to the manners of this, tho’ my native Country (having
quitted it very young) that I never dreamt I had any other, than the old family name
— I was greatly astonished when at my late return, I saw myself under the
necessity of being called by that of Créveceur. . . .

Whatever may have been the motive of this innocent mystification, it
reflects more concern with his own name on Crévecoeur’s part than is
ordinarily found among men. Besides the name he used for himself in
America, the names he actually gave and that became matters of record
(neglecting fictitious personal names used in his narratives) include the name
of his farm, “Pine Hill,” a quite ordinary farm name, and the names of his
children. His wife was an American lady; and his first child, a daughter born
December 14, 1770, received an unusual name that commemorated both her
mother’s and her father’s native lands: America Frances. She was called
“Fanny” in the family. His two sons, younger than Fanny, were given
ordinary French forenames, in part names previously used in the Créveceur
family: Guillaume-Alexandre (b. 1772) and Philippe-Louis (b. 1774).

Crévecoeur’s interest in geographical names appears most distinctly,
among his published writings, in the last of his works, which appeared more
than a decade after his final return to France: Voyage dans la haute Pensyl-
vanie et dans l’État de New York,7 which I quote from its translation into
English.8 It is not, as its title would indicate, the record of a journey, but,

5. The correspondence is published in the notes appended by Warren Barton Blake to his edition
(Everyman’s Library, 640) of Crévecoeur’s Letters from an American Farmer (London and New York,
1912), pp. 243-246.

6. The French translation of the certificate of baptism published in V. et O. gives these names in the French
form. In his correspondence with Ethan Allen, Crévecoeur petitioned for naturalization of himself and his
children in Vermont. Here he used English forms of their names; and in the act of naturalization the names
appear as “St. John de Crévecoeur . . . and his three children America Frances St. John, William Alexander
St. John, and Philip Lewis St. John” (Records, pp. 391-392). The descendants of Philippe-Louis
(Guillaume-Alexandre died untimely and childless) continued to use the English form “St. John” in their
family name, writing it “St. John de Crévecoeur.”


8. Michel-Guillaume St. Jean de Crévecoeur, Journey into Northern Pennsylvania and the State of New
York. Translated by Clarissa Spencer Bostelman (Ann Arbor, 1964; cited as “Journey”).
like its author’s other writings about America, a collection of narratives and descriptions based in part on his own experiences and in part on material taken from other writings, usually without acknowledgment. In this work he expresses a particular preference for the preservation of Indian geographical names. One of his numerous explanatory notes, addressed, of course, to a French audience, is concerned with “‘New Names”: “With the exception of names of towns and counties, the Government has submitted to no formality the names given to the burgs, villages, and rivers; it is to chance or to the colonists’ caprice that most of the names on the map are due. Each owner of a fair-sized concession names it as he pleases and has the name registered. In general, it is to the surveyors that is due the preservation of those names by which the Indians designated the lakes, rivers, and mountains. . . . It is to be hoped that [the Indian names be preserved] throughout the continent.”

He lets the writer of a pretended letter, a Richard Buttler, report that he had frequently recommended to the founders of the new trans-Allegheny settlements that they preserve the Indian names. “This respect for these names [should] have been prescribed by law. . . .” We should “give to posterity [the] primitive names [of these regions]; then we shall prevent remembrance of these tribes from being lost to the darkness of time, and we shall immortalize the only witness of the gratitude we can give and very certainly owe to the ancient owners of this continent whom we have tricked and deceived. Besides, these names — already consecrated by the passage and imprint of many centuries — are they not more appropriate in all respects and infinitely more sonorous than those of our common nomenclature?”

Crèvecoeur’s most general discussion of place-names in America is found in a pretended conversation with Simeon DeWitt (1756-1834), Surveyor-General of New York State from 1784 until his death, whom he calls “Mr. Duwitt” in this passage. The conversation is presented as taking place at the time when DeWitt was engaged in surveying the military grant in western New York State that is well known for the classical names of its townships. In response to a question concerning these names, “Mr. Duwitt” explains: “Our soldiers, having a great veneration for the ancient heroes and the other great personages of Greece and Rome, sent me this list. In a few years, a traveler will be able to breakfast in Hannibal, dine with [Lysander], and

9. The sources of a large number of Crèvecoeur’s borrowings in this work are identified in the “Introduction” to Crèvecoeur’s Eighteenth Century Travels in Pennsylvania and New York, translated and edited by Percy G. Adams ([Lexington, Kentucky], 1961), a partial and selective translation of Crèvecoeur’s Voyage . . . ; and in several articles by Adams cited there.


sleep in the house of someone in Camillus; the next day he will be able to do
the same at Fabius, Homer, and Virgil. Assuredly it would be difficult to
find better company on the way.”

Then he puts into the mouth of “Mr. Duwitt” a general statement
concerning the giving of geographical names in the United States. “If I
could transform my wishes into law, ... it would be from ancient history,
according the appearance of the place, according to Indian lore and legend or
perhaps from some local circumstance that the settlements would take their
names; our language furnishes an inexhaustible variety. This would perhaps
wound the pride of our little founders of towns and districts, who never miss
joining to their own names some hideous sounding suffix such as that of
bourg or town or ville, such as Cooper’s town, White’s town, Harrisburg,
Nashville, etc., or those who have recourse to even more trivial sounding
names such as Newbourg, Newlondon, New York, etc. What will posterity
think when it is obliged to add the adjective new to the name of a capital or a
land which will be five hundred years old? It was convenient to borrow some
names from our old country when we were mere colonies, but today! it is
time that we had a national nomenclature, just as we have laws and a
Government that are strictly ours.”

One may glean other passages from Journey concerning place-names,
such as the derivation of certain names in Tennessee: Hawkins, Jones-
borough, Nashville, and Clarksville; but enough has been cited to demon-
strate Crèvecoeur’s abiding interest in names and the opinions he held, at
least in his later years, regarding the geographical names appropriate to
North America.

THE PLACE- NAMES CRÈVECOEUR
PROPOSED FOR VERMONT

Several of the names Crèvecoeur proposed for settlements in Vermont
have suffixes he later castigated as “hideous sounding,” though affixed to
names more renowned than those of “little founders” of settlements. I list
the names here in the order in which he wrote them in his letters to Allen.
There is some duplication between the two letters; I add the parenthetical
identifying numbers “(1)” and “(2)” to indicate in which letter or letters
each name is proposed. The term “town” as it appears in the letters is the
equivalent of French ville, an incorporated municipality, not of the New
England “town”; the latter is rendered by “district” or “precinct.”

12. Idem, p. 496.
Towns:
Vergennes or Vergennesburg (1, 2)
Castril Polis (1, 2)
Gallipolis (1)
Rochambeau (1)
Noaillesburg (1)

Districts or precincts:
Targetsfield (1); Target (county), Targetburg (county town) (2)
Fannysburg (1)
Harcourt (1); Hart Court (2)
Ludovico Polis (1)
Condorcet (1, 2)
Brothersfield (1)
Danville (1, 2)
Sophysburg (1)
St. Johnsbury (1)
Beauv(e)au (county and county town) (2)
Liencourt (county and county town) (2)
Fayette's Grove (2)
Segurum (2)

The only one of the persons represented by the names in his list that Crèvecoeur identified in his letters was the eponym of Castri Polis: "the minister of the Marine [the marquis de Castries] who had a very great share in all the naval expeditions by which final independence has been obtained" (1). He commented specifically on only one name, Target, of which he wrote parenthetically in his second letter: "(this . . . name is infinitely precious and dear to me, I could wish to see it given to some Place or District)."

Writing to Crèvecoeur on March 2, 1786, Allen informed him of a decision of the Governor's Council to recommend to the legislature that "on the land contiguous with the first falls on Otter Creek they would incorporate a City with certain priviledges and infranchisements, and have already named it De Vergens to perpetuate the memory of your prime minister in America to all eternity. Every other of the patriotic names you gave me will I presume be noticed in like manner and be affixed to districts of territory in Vermont, particularly your favorite Target alias Targetburg, and the minister of the Marine, according to your desires."15 A little more than a year later, on April 4, 1787, Allen sent Crèvecoeur the certificates of his and his children's naturalization in Vermont, reporting the incorporation of "De-vergennesburg" And the naming of two townships St. Johnsbury and Danville.16 These three were the only ones of the 18 names Crèvecoeur

proposed that were actually adopted in Vermont.

The members of the state government of Vermont undoubtedly knew some of the names of "french Characters" Crèvecoeur proposed to commemorate by the names he suggested, but others were in all probability unknown to them. They may all be identified from easily accessible biographical sources and from the record of Crèvecoeur's life. Some of their bearers have prominent places in history; others, less prominent, were friends Crèvecoeur made during his sojourn in France between his return to his native country in August, 1781, and his departure to take his post as consul in New York in the autumn of 1783. During this period he spent a good deal of time in Paris and Versailles, in close association with Franklin and with French officials friendly toward the United States.

The name of Charles Gravier, comte de Vergennes (1712-1787), foreign minister of France during the American war for independence, was undoubtedly well known in America. Castri Polis, as Crèvecoeur explained to Allen, alluded to Charles-Eugène-Gabriel de la Croix, marquis de Castries (1727-1801), minister of marine 1781-1783.

There is no discernible order in Crèvecoeur's list of names. After these two names commemorating ministers in the French government he introduces an entirely general name, Gallipolis, which refers to the French nation as a whole. The name is worth noting, since, though it did not take root in Vermont, it was used five years later for the unfortunate settlement of French immigrants on the Ohio River. No details concerning the naming of Gal- lipolis, Ohio, seem to have been preserved, so that it is not clear whether there is any connection between Crèvecoeur's proposal of 1785 and the name a group of his countrymen gave to their settlement in 1790.

The name of Jean-Baptiste-Donatien de Vimeur, comte de Rochambeau (1725-1807), commander of the French forces in America during the war for independence, was certainly widely known on this side of the Atlantic, though that of Emmanuel-Marie-Louis, marquis de Noailles (1743-1822), French ambassador in London at the beginning of the American revolution, may not have been. That of Gui-Jean-Baptiste Target (1733-1806), a prominent lawyer for whom Crèvecoeur had a special fondness, could scarcely have been familiar. 17 Crèvecoeur's next name, Fannysburg, reflects an even more personal association; it can refer to no one other than his daughter America Frances, called Fanny. Harcourt, or in unbound and partly anglicized form Hart Court, refers either to Anne-Pierre, fourth duc d'Harcourt (1701-1783), governor-general of Normandy 1761-1783, or to his son

17. Ninety years after Crèvecoeur's letters were written, E. P. Walton, editor of Records, found the name so unfamiliar that he thought the copyist of the letters had made a mistake in writing it. He accordingly changed it to the better-known name Turgot (Records, vol. 3, p. 388, fn. 2).
François-Henri (1726-1802), fifth duke of the title, who succeeded to his father’s position in Crèvecoeur’s native province in 1783. Crèvecoeur knew the suffix -court in at least one American place-name: the estate of which his farm Pine Hill had been a part bore the name Greycourt. If Americans knew the name Harcourt, their knowledge was more likely to be of the English than of the French branch of the family.

Ludovicus Polis as an allusion to Louis XVI of France is awkward; one can not reproach the American public for rejecting it while accepting the equivalent Louisville (Kentucky, 1780). The name of Marie-Jean-Antoine Caritat, marquis de Condorcet (1743-1794) is an honored one, but not likely to have been widely known in America in 1785. Brothersfield is evidently an invention of Crèvecoeur’s; it might be taken as a tribute to the fraternal association of Americans and Frenchmen in achieving independence for the United States, but I suspect that Crèvecoeur, having proposed a name commemorating his daughter Fanny, was here introducing an allusion to her two brothers, his sons. Danville, the most widely adopted of the names Crèvecoeur proposed, is clearly derived from the name of Louis-Alexandre, duc de la Roche-Guyon et de La Rochefoucauld d’Enville (1743-1793), the member of the liberal circle in which Crèvecoeur moved in Paris with whom he maintained the closest association.

Sophysburg alludes to another of Crèvecoeur’s personal associates in Paris, Elisabeth-Françoise-Sophie, comtesse d’Houdetot (ca. 1730-1813), mentioned earlier, who was called by the third of her forenames, Sophie. The countess d’Houdetot was the center of a circle of friends of the revolting colonies, which included Franklin and Crèvecoeur; it was probably through her influence that he received his appointment as consul in New York. Fanny St. John, in marriage the countess d’Otto, perpetuated her memory by naming a town in Vermont St. John in honor of Crèvecoeur, but St. Johnsbury rather than St. John was Crèvecoeur’s own suggestion. It is the third and last of the names from his list accepted by the government of Vermont.

Beauvau, misspelled “Beauveau” in the letter as published, commemorates Charles-Juste de Beauvau (1720-1793), the incumbent of several administrative posts in France during the latter part of his career. He was another of Crèvecoeur’s influential associates in Paris, but probably utterly unknown in Vermont. Liancourt is the distinguishing title of François-Alexandre-Frédéric, duc de La Rochefoucauld-Liancourt (1747-1827), usually referred to as La Rochefoucauld, humanitarian and agricultural reformer, in exile during a part of the revolutionary disorders in France. He visited the United States in the 1790’s, but was probably not widely known here in 1785.
Place-names derived from the name of Marie-Joseph du Motier, marquis de La Fayette (1757-1834) and from that of his estate, La Grange, are the most numerous ones in the United States that commemorate French friends of the American cause. They are few, however, in New England; in these states one finds only two, both in Maine: Fayette (1795) and La Grange (1832). This showing is meager in comparison with New York’s Fayette, Fayetteville, Lafayette, Lafayetteville, La Grange, and Lagrangeville; or with Pennsylvania’s Fayette, Fayette City, Fayetteville, La Fayette, Lafayette Hill, and La Fayette Station. The unbound generic suffix “Grove” that Crèvecoeur combined with Fayette was familiar to him from his earlier years in America: his farm in Orange County, New York, was in Chester township close to its boundary with Blooming Grove.

Segurnum is a pseudo-Latin coinage by Crèvecoeur from the name of Louis-Philippe, comte de Séguir (1753-1830), friend of La Fayette and commander of a regiment in America under Rochambeau, and his father, Philippe-Henri, marquis de Séguir (1724-1801), French minister of war from 1780 to 1787. Segurnum is a solid name, easily pronounced by speakers of English, but probably having too strong a flavor of classical learning for the taste of Vermonters. The Latin root of the name of the castles called Séguir, from one of which this family had its name, is the adjective securus. Crèvecoeur was pardanably ignorant of the etymology of the name, and made of it a construction in Latin form that is preferable as a place-name to any form of securus.

There is more than a little naïveté in Crèvecoeur’s assertion that “the sound of all these names agrees very well with the American language, therefore it cannot on that hand be objected to” (2). His sojourn in France from 1781 to 1783 after his years in America had accustomed him again to his native language, and he greatly overestimated the hospitality of Vermont ears, in spite of the French name of the state, to French names. What would a Vermont frontiersman make of Noaillesburg, Beauvau, or Liancourt? He could understand Target in writing, but probably not when spoken, and would not recognize it as a name. The three names adopted, Vergennes, Danville, and St. Johnsbury, were given promptly after Crèvecoeur suggested them. Vergennes was the first on his list, proposed for a specific site, and immediately given to the city incorporated on that site. Danville was easy to pronounce, however unfamiliar its source might be; and St. Johnsbury was wholly in accordance with the established custom of adding a habitational suffix to a family name to form a place-name; the family name in this instance is, moreover, English.

The one unchanged French name among those accepted, Vergennes, by no means the most forbidding one in Crèvecoeur’s list, evidently caused
difficulty. Ethan Allen, writing to Crèvecoeur in March, 1786, called it "De Vergens." 18 In his letter of April 4, 1787, he wrote it "Devergennesburg." 19 If one follows the occurrence of the name through the Records of the Governor and Council of Vermont one finds it written variously as Devergeens, Vargeens, and — most frequently — Vergeens; these spellings seem to reflect fumbling attempts to pronounce a troublesome name. Not until 1794 does the correct spelling, Vergennes, appear consistently, associated, one may suppose, with the general adoption of the present pronunciation. Other names in Crèvecoeur's list would certainly have presented more difficulty than Vergennes did.

The name Vermont was a deliberate coinage, a euphonious translation into French of Green Mountain. It has been ridiculed as bad French by persons as ignorant of the existence of a genuine French counterpart, the name of the village Le Vermont, situated in the Vosges some 15 kilometers NNE of St. Dié, as were the Green Mountain people who reinvented it. Its pronunciation was not French, however, except in stress, since the spelling easily permitted a pronunciation according to English usage. Vermont had another French place-name, Montpelier, given in 1780. Here the adaptation of the French original, Montpellier, involved a shift in stress to the penultimate syllable and a modification of that syllable by a lengthening of its vowel and a concomitant dropping of one of the geminated l's following it. A similar treatment of the stressed syllable is seen in the early, awkward handling of the name Vergennes. The fact that Vermont had a French name was not, as Crèvecoeur argued, evidence that its inhabitants could pronounce other French names.

By 1785 the inhabitants of the eastern United States had behind them a long history of naming new settlements and firmly-established precedents for such name-giving. Only special circumstances could modify these fixed customs sufficiently to secure the adoption of names that did not conform to them. Crèvecoeur's correspondence with Ethan Allen, perhaps the most influential man in Vermont at the time, was a special circumstance, but it was potent enough to ensure the adoption of only three of the 18 names he proposed, one of which, St. Johnsbury, was strictly in the American tradition, and another, Danville, could be construed as being in it. Perhaps, considering the weight of precedent, the acceptance of just one French name in its original form was more than might reasonably have been expected.